



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2016

Improving outcome of sensorimotor functions after traumatic spinal cord injury

Dietz, Volker

Abstract: In the rehabilitation of a patient suffering a spinal cord injury (SCI), the exploitation of neuroplasticity is well established. It can be facilitated through the training of functional movements with technical assistance as needed and can improve outcome after an SCI. The success of such training in individuals with incomplete SCI critically depends on the presence of physiological proprioceptive input to the spinal cord leading to meaningful muscle activations during movement performances. Some actual preclinical approaches to restore function by compensating for the loss of descending input to spinal networks following complete/incomplete SCI are critically discussed in this report. Electrical and pharmacological stimulation of spinal neural networks is still in the experimental stage, and despite promising repair studies in animal models, translations to humans up to now have not been convincing. It is possible that a combination of techniques targeting the promotion of axonal regeneration is necessary to advance the restoration of function. In the future, refinement of animal models according to clinical conditions and requirements may contribute to greater translational success.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8129.1>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-127325>

Journal Article

Published Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

Dietz, Volker (2016). Improving outcome of sensorimotor functions after traumatic spinal cord injury. F1000Research, 5:1-5.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8129.1>



REVIEW

Improving outcome of sensorimotor functions after traumatic spinal cord injury [version 1; referees: 2 approved]

Volker Dietz

Spinal Cord Injury Center, Balgrist University Hospital, Forchstrasse 340, Zürich, CH-8008, Switzerland

v1 First published: 27 May 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):1018 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.8129.1)

Latest published: 27 May 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):1018 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.8129.1)

Abstract

In the rehabilitation of a patient suffering a spinal cord injury (SCI), the exploitation of neuroplasticity is well established. It can be facilitated through the training of functional movements with technical assistance as needed and can improve outcome after an SCI. The success of such training in individuals with incomplete SCI critically depends on the presence of physiological proprioceptive input to the spinal cord leading to meaningful muscle activations during movement performances. Some actual preclinical approaches to restore function by compensating for the loss of descending input to spinal networks following complete/incomplete SCI are critically discussed in this report. Electrical and pharmacological stimulation of spinal neural networks is still in the experimental stage, and despite promising repair studies in animal models, translations to humans up to now have not been convincing. It is possible that a combination of techniques targeting the promotion of axonal regeneration is necessary to advance the restoration of function. In the future, refinement of animal models according to clinical conditions and requirements may contribute to greater translational success.



This article is included in the **F1000 Faculty Reviews** channel.

Open Peer Review

Referee Status:

	Invited Referees	
	1	2
version 1 published 27 May 2016		

F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious **F1000 Faculty**. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, peer review takes place before publication; the referees are listed below, but their reports are not formally published.

1 Marios Papadopoulos, St. George's, University of London UK

2 Lorne Mendell, Stony Brook University USA

Discuss this article

Comments (0)

Corresponding author: Volker Dietz (vdietz@paralab.balgrist.ch)

How to cite this article: Dietz V. **Improving outcome of sensorimotor functions after traumatic spinal cord injury [version 1; referees: 2 approved]** *F1000Research* 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):1018 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.8129.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8129.1))

Copyright: © 2016 Dietz V. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution Licence](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The author(s) is/are employees of the US Government and therefore domestic copyright protection in USA does not apply to this work. The work may be protected under the copyright laws of other jurisdictions when used in those jurisdictions.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Competing interests: The author declares that he has no competing interests.

First published: 27 May 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):1018 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.8129.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8129.1))

Introduction

Over the last few years, several approaches to improving the outcome of sensorimotor functions after spinal cord injury (SCI) have been established. These concern approaches to treating an acute traumatic SCI, exploiting neuroplasticity, and repairing damaged spinal cord by neuroprotective and neuroregenerative substances. For the latter approaches, a great number of animal studies have shown promising potential to translate the repair of the damaged spinal cord tracts to human SCI. In contrast, little progress has been made to treat impairment of the autonomic system, which impedes quality of life more than the ability of walking¹. Nevertheless, this short opinion paper is limited in its scope, i.e. it focuses on a few actual approaches to restoring sensorimotor functions after an SCI and intends to point out some of the problems in translating preclinical animal work to the clinic. In addition, it is thought to be selective and complementary to another review, 'Recent advances in managing a spinal cord injury secondary to trauma', provided by Fehlings' group². The latter review discusses in more detail new approaches that are intended to be introduced into the clinic. Furthermore, a broader review about actual approaches to improving the outcome of sensorimotor functions after an SCI was recently published elsewhere³.

Management of acute injury

The actual treatment for SCI is focused on early decompression of the injured spinal cord, leading to an improved outcome⁴. This approach is convincing and well established. The limitations are twofold: life-threatening complications can have a higher priority, and after an accident patients are frequently not directly transferred to a hospital that can provide adequate spine surgery. The consequences are inevitable delays. Nevertheless, there are clear indications to perform decompression surgery, e.g. by expansion duroplasty to improve circulation in the damaged spinal cord⁵. Furthermore, by the application of new techniques of neuromonitoring from the injury site to guide the management of blood pressure, for example, and/or the preservation of spinal cord perfusion pressure at the injury site⁶, additional damage might be avoided.

Rehabilitation: exploitation of neuroplasticity

Great advances in the last few decades to exploit neuroplasticity, as well as the associated development of neurorehabilitation technology, as an important part of neurorehabilitation have become well established³. Actual training approaches are directed to the activation of specific receptors necessary to lead to physiological limb activation⁷ required for an improved outcome of function. Functional training programs based on animal experiments⁸ dominate this part of rehabilitation. Furthermore, a spontaneously occurring neural re-organisation takes place for the compensation of paresis, such as the development of spastic muscle tone⁹. This can be facilitated and adjusted by functional training.

During the last 20 years, functional training programs have led to the rapid development of neurorehabilitation technology (for review, see 10). Today, the training of functional movements of upper and lower limbs becomes assisted by an increasing number of

robotic devices in combination with virtual reality programs, which facilitate training, provide feedback information, and allow longer training times (for review, see 11).

Spinal cord repair: neuroprotection and neuroregeneration

The ultimate goal in treating SCI and improving function would in any case be spinal cord repair. A large number of neuroprotective and neuroregenerative agents exist and frequently show positive effects in animal models of SCI. However, the actual proven effects of these approaches are rather disappointing. Pilot studies and Cochrane reviews¹² indicate rather small beneficial effects of such agents (e.g. methylprednisolone¹³). For example, an increase of four motor score points means functionally almost nothing, especially if considered with respect to possible side effects of such treatment (e.g. respiration problems and infections). Today, none of these substances are applied routinely in SCI patients (at least in Europe); early administration of methylprednisolone is established only in young patients suffering from an isolated fracture of the spine.

A number of current approaches to induce some regeneration of the spinal cord are on the way to becoming translated from the animal model to human beings. This is, indeed, an exciting area of research, as such approaches might lead to spinal cord repair. Unfortunately, the clinical significance of these approaches is frequently not critically questioned. Most of the agents have been studied in animal, usually rodent, models for more than 20 years and showed promising results. However, until now they have not yet been translated to humans or were not convincing in their effect³. A promising approach to inducing regeneration was the application of olfactory ensheathing cells (OECs). In a carefully conducted study, such autologous cells were transplanted to chronic, motor complete SCI patients without any beneficial effect¹⁴. What are the reasons for the problems in translating effects obtained in animal SCI models to the human condition? Some of them are listed below:

- In the rodent model, the spinal cord is usually transected, while in humans after a trauma the spinal cord is damaged over two to three segments; this impedes sufficient meaningful regeneration
- Several approaches were applied in complete human SCI (e.g. Nogo-Ab); however, in these patients, the remaining tissue bridges might not be sufficient to allow regeneration of tract fibres
- In animals, agents are usually applied directly after spinal cord transection; in the human condition, this is usually not feasible earlier than 2 to 3 weeks after trauma (phase 1 Nogo-Ab study in our center) due to, e.g., surgery, complications such as infections of the urinary tract or pneumonia, and patient consent
- Bridging was performed in chronic complete SCI patients (OEC transplantation); however, after 1 year, a neuronal dysfunction develops below the level of lesion that makes a success unlikely^{15,16}
- Cervical SCI patients would most benefit from some regeneration for their quality of life; however, in contrast to thoracic lesions, a

cervical SCI is associated with damage (up to 40% of paresis¹⁷) of the peripheral nervous system (motoneurons and roots), and this makes the beneficial effects of regenerative substances and cells more unlikely

Conclusions

In the future, most probably, only by a combination of neuroprotective and neuroregenerative strategies can real progress in spinal cord repair be achieved. As a consequence, it should be pointed out that any substantial progress in managing SCI critically

depends on a close cooperation among clinicians, engineers, and basic scientists.

Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

References



- Anderson KD: **Targeting recovery: priorities of the spinal cord-injured population.** *J Neurotrauma*. 2004; **21**(10): 1371–83.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Ahuja CS, Martin AR, Fehlings M: **Recent advances in managing a spinal cord injury secondary to trauma.** *F1000*. 2016; (in press).
- Dietz V, Fouad K: **Restoration of sensorimotor functions after spinal cord injury.** *Brain*. 2014; **137**(Pt 3): 654–67.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Fehlings MG, Vaccaro A, Wilson JR, *et al.*: **Early versus delayed decompression for traumatic cervical spinal cord injury: results of the Surgical Timing in Acute Spinal Cord Injury Study (STASCIS).** *PLoS One*. 2012; **7**(2): e32037.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
- Phang I, Werndle MC, Saadoun S, *et al.*: **Expansion duroplasty improves intraspinal pressure, spinal cord perfusion pressure, and vascular pressure reactivity index in patients with traumatic spinal cord injury: injured spinal cord pressure evaluation study.** *J Neurotrauma*. 2015; **32**(12): 865–74.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
- Werndle MC, Saadoun S, Phang I, *et al.*: **Monitoring of spinal cord perfusion pressure in acute spinal cord injury: initial findings of the injured spinal cord pressure evaluation study*.** *Crit Care Med*. 2014; **42**(3): 646–55.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
- Dietz V, Müller R, Colombo G: **Locomotor activity in spinal man: significance of afferent input from joint and load receptors.** *Brain*. 2002; **125**(Pt 12): 2626–34.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Barbeau H, Rossignol S: **Enhancement of locomotor recovery following spinal cord injury.** *Curr Opin Neurol*. 1994; **7**(6): 517–24.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Dietz V, Sinkjaer T: **Spastic movement disorder: impaired reflex function and altered muscle mechanics.** *Lancet Neurol*. 2007; **6**(8): 725–33.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Dietz V, Nef T, Rymer WZ: **Neurorehabilitation Technology.** London: Springer London; 2012.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Riener R, Lünenburger L, Maier I, *et al.*: **Locomotor Training in Subjects with Sensori-Motor Deficits: An Overview of the Robotic Gait Orthosis Lokomat.** *J Healthc Eng*. 2010; **1**(2): 197–216.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Bracken MB: **Steroids for acute spinal cord injury.** *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012; **1**: CD001046.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
- Bracken MB, Shepard MJ, Collins WF, *et al.*: **A randomized, controlled trial of methylprednisolone or naloxone in the treatment of acute spinal-cord injury. Results of the Second National Acute Spinal Cord Injury Study.** *N Engl J Med*. 1990; **322**(20): 1405–11.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Mackay-Sim A, Féron F, Cochrane J, *et al.*: **Autologous olfactory ensheathing cell transplantation in human paraplegia: a 3-year clinical trial.** *Brain*. 2008; **131**(Pt 9): 2376–86.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | **F1000 Recommendation**
- Dietz V, Grillner S, Trepp A, *et al.*: **Changes in spinal reflex and locomotor activity after a complete spinal cord injury: a common mechanism?** *Brain*. 2009; **132**(Pt 8): 2196–205.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Beauparlant J, van den Brand R, Barraud Q, *et al.*: **Undirected compensatory plasticity contributes to neuronal dysfunction after severe spinal cord injury.** *Brain*. 2013; **136**(Pt 11): 3347–61.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Thomas CK, Zaidner EY, Calancie B, *et al.*: **Muscle weakness, paralysis, and atrophy after human cervical spinal cord injury.** *Exp Neurol*. 1997; **148**(2): 414–23.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status:



Editorial Note on the Review Process

F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty and are edited as a service to readers. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, the referees provide input before publication and only the final, revised version is published. The referees who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations but without their reports on earlier versions (any comments will already have been addressed in the published version).

The referees who approved this article are:

Version 1

- 1 **Lorne Mendell**, Department of Neurobiology & Behavior, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY, 11794, USA
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
- 2 **Marios Papadopoulos**, St. George's, University of London, London, SW17 0RE, UK
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.